

voter's record is automatically flagged.

Georgia deserves apologies from both.

St. Paul Regrets Rent Control

Rent control is among the dumbest policies known to man, but cities keep trying it. St. Paul, Minn., is the latest to discover this universal truth, though not before significant damage was done.

Voters passed a ballot measure last November imposing a 3% cap on annual rent increases. The result was that builders shunned the city, and the City Council has responded by voting late last month to create new exceptions to the cap.

Effective Jan. 1, there will be a 20-year rent-control exemption for new residential properties and some apartments that participate in government affordable-housing programs. After a tenant leaves or is evicted with just cause, landlords will be able to raise rent by 8% plus inflation.

Property owners can also apply to St. Paul for an exemption to the 3% rent cap if their property taxes go up or if there are "unavoidable increases" in maintenance and operating costs, including increases owing to inflation. But the City Council also restricted landlords

from passing along new utility charges to tenants as a way to offset the rent caps.

Score half a point for the persuasive power of economic reality. The City Council noted in its reform

bill that, "according to data from the Department of Housing and Urban Development, there have been only two hundred (200) residential building permits in Saint Paul through April of 2022, compared to 1,391 at the same point in 2021." Imagine that.

Mercatus Center senior research fellow Salim Furth has also found that multifamily permitting surged in Minneapolis after its twin city passed rent control. Developers have a choice of where to build, and St. Paul gave them little incentive to invest there.

The City Council's reforms treat the old rent-control ordinance as merely too much of an acceptable policy. But rent control is destructive because it reduces the supply of housing, especially for low-income households. The city's reforms are a wink at this economic reality, but repeal would have been better.

The City Council does damage control after builders flee.

in er
mun
mad
on th
mun
spee
if th
tent.
a sin
new
print
thos

Pe
Al
ters'
but t
non-
mor
the v
cific
gene
belle
have
alwa

Thu
Eve
TI
abou
("Pri
Calif

ist
su-
of
th
ise

to-
it-
is-
ok
ed

ss
ng

rs-
m-
isa
ta
le-
ed
ve
as
id,
let
he

Rent Control Is No Bargain. It Ends in Disaster for Cities

Regarding your editorial "St. Paul Regrets Rent Control" (Oct. 4): I grew up just north of New York City in an apartment under rent control. We paid \$75 a month for a two-bedroom, one-bath place, about 900 square feet with an outside porch. As costs went up in the 1950s, the owner got real slow in fixing anything—because we were still paying only \$75. The screens on the windows needed replacement, but the owner refused, so my mother took him to the rent-control board. It found in her favor and reduced the rent by 75 cents. Meanwhile, the owner's taxes, insurance utilities and such—included in the \$75—kept going up.

Rent control is a disastrous idea. It punishes someone for no benefit to another. Eventually, owners simply walk away from their properties. That's what happened in several areas of New York. The city ended up with ghettos of its own creation. Smart cities avoid rent control.

BARRY BRANNIGAN
Casa Grande, Ariz.

through, r
equity and
long-term
ESG advoc
leaders, th
that those
and have
Corpora
threats an
war, aster
the rule of
ticians sm
etc. The g
however, i
zealots wh
that they
vive their

Another

As som
tion in the
agree with
a 15-week
would be
Republican
Federal Al
7). But she
that feder
would be
Pro-life
team with